



This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/> or send a letter to Creative Commons, PO Box 1866, Mountain View, CA 94042, USA



Deliverable: Quality Assurance Report – Interim Report

Country: Greece

Partner responsible: IDEC

Date: February 2023



Greener Green - 2021-1-ES01-KA220-SCH-000032687

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them




Please select your organisation:

- BLUE ROOM INNOVATION
- IDEC
- FAPEL
- UNIVERSITE DE LIEGE
- PRIMARY SCHOOL OF VAREIA
- Instituto Agrario Bell-Iloc
- Scuola Europea di Varese

Please select your organisation

8 απαντήσεις




Q1 Are the project results that are developed so far relevant to the project objectives?

Scale: Not relevant - Completely relevant

Are the project results that are developed so far relevant to the project objectives?

8 απαντήσεις




Q2 Do you have a clear idea of the Greener Green work-plan, deadlines and milestones?

Scale: Not at all - Very clear

Do you have a clear idea of the Greener Green work-plan, deadlines and milestones?

8 απαντήσεις




Q3 Do you have a clear idea of the project management structure, roles and responsibilities?

Scale: Not at all – Very clear

Do you have a clear idea of the project management structure, roles and responsibilities?

8 απαντήσεις



Q4 Which are the factors that contribute to the successful execution of work programme?

Scale: Open end question

Comments

- Good communication between online meetings.
- Good team communication
- Active partners which has previous experience on erasmus + and wants to develop greener green schools for europe
- Fine collaboration between partners and an open communication
- Collaboration and the best organisation
- The good cooperation and the expertise of the partners
- A good understanding of the role of each partner

- the team's dynamism, the implication within project

Q5 Which are the factors that handicap the execution of work programme?

Scale: Open end question

- It is difficult to communicate about the project without "nothing" to show
- None
- Lack of experience of U.Liege in terms of project implementation
- None so far, the project is following smoothly its plan and all partners are quite aware of the projects' stakes.
- the pressure of time
- We have no such factors
- The opposite
- Numerous and important objectives in relation to the time given. Difficulty to follow the deadline.

Q6 Mention an obstacle and how you deal with it.

Scale: Open end question

- No obstacles
- Not aware
- Partners has diferent expertise and experience related to dissemination, maybe responsibilities of this WP has to be shared
- The only obstacle I had to deal with it so far was my health issue that kept me for a short while away , but I managed to catch up specially that in the meanwhile it was up to another partner To cope with ongoing task
- the pressure of time having to do to the programme we try to work more hours
- Some partners had a little delay in delivering the tasks but after a meeting with the coordinator, that problem was solved
- The lack of understanding of the usefulness of certain tasks that are time consuming and useless - -> give them to IDEC :-)
- Linked the administrative task and pedagogical tasks. One person follows the all project.

Q7 Which coordination methods did you find most effective and why?

Scale: Open end question

- Clear mails with clear tasks and clear responsible
- Regular online meetings. easy to organise and follow up.
- Monthly meetings
- Coordination is quite efficient since Blue Room Innovation designated among their team one person for main activities. In that way knowing who would be the contact and how or whom to address is very much efficient and pleasant to deal with
- The creation of the platform where we can find files and documents
- Online meetings and meetings in person - more effective to discuss matters than e-mails

- Sharing of the tasks with own objectives to achieve

Q8 Which coordination methods did you find least effective and why?


Scale: Open end question

- Sometimes it is very difficult to partners to answer doodles
- not aware
- Practice reaction from some partners is difficult, better send reminders continuously
- As the saying goes, more is often the enemy of the good, and too much can complicate and burden the process. Building on the skills of the partners and giving them space to act would be just as effective and more enjoyable. In any case, the whole process works well and there is good cohesion between the partners.
- We dont have the time to answer all the mails
- E-mails can be a bit time consuming.
- According to us necessary to assign the all project at few people. To much think to do or allocate one person to deal with as a "full time".

Q9 Do you have suggestions for improvement of management and coordination?

Do you have suggestions for improvement of management and coordination?

8 απαντήσεις



Q10 Which are the main strenghts of the partnership so far?

Scale: Open end question

- Good communication with our spanish partner.
- the mix of school , tech and academic partners
- Some partners with huge expertise on Erasmus+
- The main strenghts of the partnership is already the subject of the project! Everybody is aware of Green Topics and are very committed to come up with green outcomes. Beside this there is a huge knowledge regarding green issues and partners are eager to solve the resources wasting on a daily basis!
- that the coordinators give us the exact instructions about

- The good coordination and cooperation
- diversity of partners
- the collaboration (sharing of tasks)

Q11 Which are the main weaknesses of the partnership so far?

Scale: Open end question

- Sometimes it is difficult to agree with the date for the meetings
- not aware
- Some partners with small expertise on Erasmus+
- None, every partner is bringing his share of workload and ideas
- a lot of bureaucrassy
- The difference in sectors and capacity of the partners , but with good cooperation, one learns from the other if needed
- diversity of partners
- lack of time

Q12 Which are the main opportunities for the partnership?

Scale: Open end question

- We can learn about sustainable education in all countries
- create a useful tool for greener schools
- Engage as most as schools that can be green in the future
- Personally, I'm looking forward for the Belgian LTT, I'm confident that this opportunity to redefine project's targets & plans in addition to 3 days of a f2f meeting will consolidate the work process and first positive outcomes would quickly arise.
- the exchange of opinions between partners about the environmental topics
- To use the outputs in the participating countries and beyond
- diversity of partners
- Exchange

Q13 Which are the main factors that can threat the partnership?

Scale: Open end question


- Maybe deadlines if we do not achieve one
- lack of interest by schools to participate in the pilot
- Implement the project to external actors
- Hopefully none, partners are responsible organization used to deal with quality work and meeting deadlines.
- the luck of time and the distance
- Delays
- the time consumption of this project in view of the low funding rate
- no respect of the deadline

Q14 How would you evaluate the transnational cooperation within the partnership?

Scale: Not at all successful – Very successful

How would you evaluate the transnational cooperation within the partnership?

8 απαντήσεις




Q15 Are conflicts effectively addressed?

Answers: Yes - No

Are conflicts effectively addressed?

8 απαντήσεις



Q16 At personal level, how would you evaluate the impact on your professional and management competences?

Answers:


My professional and management competences have remained the same

My professional and management competences have been improved

My professional and management competences have been deteriorated

At personal level, how would you evaluate the impact on your professional and management competences?

8 απαντήσεις



Q17 At organisational level, has the Greener Green project implementation an impact on your capacity and activities?

Answers:


The capacity and activities of my organisation have remained the same

The capacity and activities of my organisation have been improved

The capacity and activities of my organisation have been deteriorated

At organisational level, has the Greener Green project implementation an impact on your capacity and activities?

8 απαντήσεις



Q18 Do you have any wishes or suggestions to optimize the work procedures?

Scale: Open end question

- more exchange on bilateral level
- Since all tasks are linked, I wish to have some information or level of achievement status when a partner is dealing with a long process work load.
- more activities about the environment and the organization of more webinars for teachers
- We should try more in the Dissemination dimension


- no
- simplification of the administrative tasks

Q19 Anything else you would like to share:

Scale: Open end question

Anything else you would like to share:

6 απαντήσεις



Conclusions

We observe that the partnership is vividly interested, cooperative and highly involved in order to achieve results. As in many partnerships with a multitude of diverse partners, there are challenges to face and obstacles to overcome. However, the partnership has found solutions to problems and is willing to take more decisions regarding anything that threatens its success.